word, but the judge or the court considered all three of those sections of the Declaration of Rights and he did hold to the point that there were some cases, many cases, that were not trial by jury. However, the United States Constitution has the same provisions. I don't see where there is any particular problem. In Maryland we have a statute which provides for magistrate trials, that before a person can be tried, and I will read it to you, that the accused, when brought before any such trial magistrate, or being informed by him of his right to trial by jury, freely elects to be tried before such trial magistrate, and provided, further, that a jury trial be not provided in such case on the part of the State by the State's Attorne.

In today's law any person brought before a trial magistrate or otherwise is entitled to a trial by jury of the so wants.

I think putting in this language will not help the situation. I certainly don't think we want to change the current status of the law. I believe that what we have said uniquing ally states this and if there no be any implementation of it by the Legislature as apparent to